

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/775,607	02/10/2004	Alois Conte	16638	1613	
4859 7	590 06/23/2005		EXAMINER		
MACMILLAN SOBANSKI & TODD, LLC			TRINH, MINH N		
ONE MARITII	ME PLAZA FOURTH	FLOOR	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
TOLEDO, OH	43604-1619		3729		
			DATE MAILED: 06/23/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

. <b></b>			$\boldsymbol{\psi}$				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	10/775,607	CONTE, ALOIS					
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Minh Trinh	3729					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addre	9SS				
THE REPLY FILED 07 June 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	ALLOWANCE.					
<ul> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:</li> <li>a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.</li> <li>b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.</li> </ul>							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706,076	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION, See MPER 706 07(f)						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date or been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened st above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	, which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2) a	fee under 37 s set forth in (b)				
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comof filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any estimates a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must <u>AMENDMENTS</u></li> </ol>	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))	), to avoid dismissal of	the appeal.				
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection         <ul> <li>They raise new issues that would require further or</li> <li>They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> <li>They are not deemed to place the application in beappeal; and/or</li> <li>They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.</li> </ul> </li> </ol>	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow); etter form for appeal by materially re a corresponding number of finally re	TE below); educing or simplifying t					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendment (	PTOL-324)				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		omprant / monamon (	. 102 02 1/.				
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).	allowable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendme	nt canceling				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 16-18.  Claim(s) objected to: 3-5 and 12-14.  Claim(s) rejected: 1,2,6-11 and 15.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		ill be entered and an e	xplanation of				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa</li> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation</li> </ol>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fails See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a ).				
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	, io bolow or account					

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

Minh Trinh Primary Examiner

13. Other: \_\_\_\_.

11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

## **Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)**

Application No.

The amendment to the claims raise new issues which requires further search and/or consideration. It is noted that the rejected claims does not require a swivel arm being mounted adjacent the processing station and the particular liner movement with respect to the processing station as set forth in the amended claim 1, lines 4-6, and the subject matter recited in claim 9, lines 5-6 where the at least one swivel arm operatively associated with the at least one processing station which narrowed the scope of the claims, therefore additional search and /or consideration necessary.

mt 6/21/05